

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,052 08/06/2001		Masafumi Maekawa	FUJI 18.898	FUJI 18.898 9865	
26304	7590	07/22/2005	•	EXAMINER	
KATTEN I 575 MADIS		ROSENMAN LL	TRUONG, CAMQUY		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2195	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
/	09/923,052	MAEKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Camquy Truong	2195					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	oril 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. The claim language in the following claims is not clearly understood:
 - i. As to claim 1, line, 6, it is not clearly understood what "have been obtained" (i.e. hardware resource obtaining request or obtained hardware resources); line 8, it is not clearly understood "resource usage of each of the obtained hardware resources is identical with a resource usage of the hardware resource obtaining request" (i.e. the amount of the resource usage of obtained resource is the same as the amount of the amount of the resource usage obtaining request or the resource usage type of the obtaining resources is identical with the resource usage type of the resource usage type of the obtaining request).
 - ii. As to claim 7, it is not clearly indicated what is meant by "unused area of one obtained hardware resource" (i.e. the available hardware resource in the system).

Art Unit: 2195

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brouwer (U.S. Patent 6,760,303 B1).
- Brouwer was cited in the last office action.
- 7. As to claims 1, 7 and 10, Brouwer teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: A method for obtaining resource (col. 6, lines 48-51; col. 7, lines 8-14), said method comprising the steps of:

Obtaining additional resources from available resources which have not been obtained in the system, for a resource usage of a resource obtaining request, when each use rate of resources exceeds a predetermined threshold in that the obtained hardware resources, have been obtained and resource usages of each of the obtained resource is identical with a resource usage of the resource obtaining request (col. 6, lines 48-51; col. 7, lines 8-14 and lines 49-52),

Art Unit: 2195

Brouwer does not explicitly teach the resource is the hardware resource.

However, Brouwer teaches that his resource is the channel (col. 6, lines 40-48; col. 7, lines 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Brouwer's system channel was in fact a hardware resource as claimed because the hardware resource would enables the system to conserve resources and overhead, and better reflect actual network condition.

- 9. As to claim 2, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 1. In addition, Brouwer teaches a comparing part comparing a predetermined threshold with use rate for each of a plurality of obtained resource usage, and which resource usages are identical with a resource usage of a resource obtaining request (col. 7, lines 24-37).
- 10. As to claim 3, Brouwer teaches a securing part secure some of the available resources so as to obtain at least one of the resources for each of a plurality of available resource usages (col. 7, lines 3-15).
- 11. As to claim 4, Brouwer teaches an obtaining –to-use part obtaining a part of an unused area of the obtained resources in a condition in which each resource usage of the obtained resources is identical with the resource usage of said resource obtaining request and each use rate of the obtained resources exceeds the predetermined threshold (col. 4, lines 25-33; col. 6, lines 47-52; col. 7, lines 29-31 and lines 49-52).

Art Unit: 2195

12. As to claims 5 and 8, Brouwer teaches a threshold setting part dynamically setting a threshold of said each resource usage based o the use rate of said each resources usage of the obtained resource in the system (col. 7, lines 25-31; col. 8, lines 6-10).

- 13. As to claim 6, Brouwer teaches a given threshold setting part setting a given threshold to the threshold of said each resource to the threshold of said each resource usage of the obtained resources in the system (col. 4, lines 37-39).
- 14. As to claim 9, Brouwer teaches a priority setting part setting a priority of each resource usage based on the use rate of said each resource usage of the obtained resources in the system (col. 11, lines 26-28).

Response to the argument

15. Applicant arguments filed on 4/20/05 had been considered but they are not persuasive. In the remarks applicant argued (1) "In sharp contrast to the method of Brouwer, Applicants' claimed method addresses the assignment of a hardware resource based on a hardware resource obtaining request, which only assigns a new resource for the current resource request and additional resource requests of the same usage type when a resource occupancy of currently assigned resources exceeds a predetermined threshold. As claimed for example in Applicants' amended independent claim 7, rather than assigning new resources, additional unused portions of obtained resources

Art Unit: 2195

continue to be used to satisfy new hardware resource obtaining request of the same usage type until the time that the predetermined threshold has been exceeded".

16. Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks:

As to point (1), Brouwer teaches switching from a dedicated channel to a common channel (only those users who truly need or require dedicated channels at given points in time are supported by such channels) if the amount of information stored in a transmit buffer of the user connection exceeds a particular threshold (col. 7, line 39-col. 8, line 5). Usage type does not clearly described in the claim 1. Brouwer teaches switching from a common channel to a dedicated channel (col. 7, 49-50). New hardware resource obtaining requests of the same type until the time that the predetermined threshold has been exceeded does not clearly described in the claim 7.

17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2195

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camquy Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-3773. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM – 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3756.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

Camquy Truong

June 28, 2005

MENG-AL T. AN

CRY PATENT EXAMINER

TY STATER 2100